



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,652	10/09/2003	Keith P. Bargroff	RFM-005 US	8246
30499	7590	06/13/2007		
CLIFFORD B. PERRY 132 N. EL CAMINO REAL, #347 ENCINITAS, CA 92024-2801			EXAMINER CHAN, RICHARD	
			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/682,652

Applicant(s)

BARGROFF, KEITH P.

Examiner

Richard Chan

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10,11,13-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 19 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's arguments that none of the cited references, either individually or collectively, disclose or suggest the recited combination of features in which "the termination port consists of at least a portion of either the in-phase mixer port, or the quadrature port" as recited in claims 1 and 11, the examiner points that the Wolkstein reference Fig.5 discloses a termination port 222, which consists of **at least** a portion of the quadrature and in-phase mixer port connected to portions 232 and 234 of the phase shift circuit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2618

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmenovic (US 6,980,787) in view of Wolkstein (US 5,222,246).

With respect to claim 1, Wolkstein discloses an IQ network comprising: a phase shift circuit **38** having an in-phase mixer **30** port configured to receive the in-phase signal from **amplifier 58**, a quadrature-phase mixer **28** port configured to receive the quadrature phase signal from **amplifier 58**, and an output port, the phase shift circuit **38** configured to provide substantially a ± 90 degree phase shift between the in-phase and quadrature-phase mixer ports; however, Dujmenovic does not disclose a back termination coupled to the termination port of the phase shift circuit, the back termination having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit at the termination port.

However, Wolkstein discloses a back termination **226, 222, 228 and 230** coupled to the termination port of the phase shift circuit **222 Col.3 lines 55-66**, the back termination having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit **258** at the termination port. Wolkstein continues to disclose wherein the termination port **222, 259** of Wolkstein can be implemented on

either the in-phase mixer port **228** or the quadrature-phase mixer **230** port of the impedance-matched IQ network of Dujmenovic.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the back termination to the phase shifting circuit of Wolkstein to the phase shifting circuit disclosed by Dujmenovic in order to substantially match the input impedance to attenuate signal reflections propagating within the IQ network.

With respect to claim 3, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 1, Dujmenovic continues to disclose wherein the phase shift circuit **32** comprises at least one phase shifter. **Fig.2**

With respect to claim 8, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 1, however Wolkstein disclose wherein the back termination comprises a resistive element **226**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a resistive element of Wolkstein as the back termination for the impedance-matched network of Dujmenovic in order to dampen any reflected signals propagating along the phase shift circuit.

With respect to claim 8, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 1, Wolkstein continues to disclose the impedance matched IQ network further comprising wherein the back termination comprises a resistive element **226**.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmenovic and Wolkstein in view of Satoh (US 6,983,129).

With respect to claim 4, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 3, however they do not disclose a T-type phase shifter.

The Satoh reference however discloses a T type phase shifter **15,16,17** in a wireless communication frequency switch and wireless communication apparatus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a T-type phase shifter of Satoh with the impedance matched IQ network disclosed by Dujmenovic and Wolkstein in order to further have control over the phase of the received signal.

With respect to claim 5, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 3, however does not disclose wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled distributed T-type or Pi type phase shifters.

The Satoh reference however discloses a T type phase shifter **Fig.1- 15,16,17** in a wireless communication frequency switch and wireless communication apparatus.

With respect to claim 6, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 3, however does not disclose wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled transmission line phase shifters.

The Satoh reference however discloses wherein at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled transmission line phase shifters **114.Fig.2**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the transmission line phase shifters disclosed by Satoh with the impedance matched IQ network disclosed by Dujmenovic and Wolkstein in order to further have control over the phase of the received signal.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmenovic, Wolkstein, and Satoh in view of Sanada (US 6,862,442).

With respect to claim 10, Dujmenovic, Wolkstein, and Satoh combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 4, however Satoh discloses a first T-type L-C phase shifter **15,16,17** comprising a first series capacitor **15**, a second series capacitor **17**, and a first shunt inductor **16** coupled therebetween; however Satoh does not disclose wherein the plurality of phase shifters comprises three series-coupled T-type L-C phase shifters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the three phase shifter circuits in series as disclosed by Satoh in order to create an efficient phase shifting circuit in order to correct the incoming RF signal of the receiver

7. Claims 7, 11, 12, 13, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmenovic (US 6,980,787) in view of Wolkstein (US 5,222,246) and Aggarwal (US 6,985,698).

With respect to claim 7, Dujmenovic and Wolkstein combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 1, however Aggarwal discloses further comprising a matching network 20.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a matching network of Aggarwal with the phase shifter of Dujmenovic in order to obtain preferred performance of the IF stage of the receiver.

With respect to claim 11, Dujmenovic discloses an image rejection circuit, comprising: an in-phase mixer 30; a quadrature phase mixer 28; a phase shift circuit 38 having an in-phase mixer 30 port coupled to the in-phase mixer, a quadrature-phase mixer 28 port coupled to the quadrature phase mixer, a termination port, and an output port, the phase shift circuit 38 configured to provide substantially a ± 90 degree phase shift between the in-phase and quadrature-phase ports; however Dujmenovic does not disclose an impedance-matched IQ network coupled to the in-phase mixer and to the

quadrature phase mixer, the impedance-matched IQ network comprising a back termination coupled to the termination port of the phase shift circuit, the back termination having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit at the termination port.

The Aggarwal reference however discloses an impedance-matched IQ network Fig.2 20 coupled to the in-phase mixer 9 and to the quadrature phase mixer 10, the impedance-matched IQ network.

And the Wolkstein reference discloses the back termination 226 having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit at the termination port **224 Col.3 lines 55-66**. Wolkstein continues to disclose wherein the termination port 228 and 230 comprises either the in-phase mixer port 228 or the quadrature phase mixer port 230 of the phase shift circuit.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a impedance matching circuit of Aggarwal with the phase shifter of Dujmenovic in order to obtain preferred performance of the IF stage of the receiver.

And it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a back termination portion with substantially equal characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit of Wolkstein to the receiver of Dujmenovic in order to dampen any reflected signals propagating along the phase shift circuit.

With respect to claim 13, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein combined disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 11, however Dujmenovic continues to disclose wherein the phase shift circuit comprises at least one phase shifter 38.

With respect to claim 21, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein combined disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 11, however Aggarwal continues to disclose wherein the image rejection circuit is further comprising a first bypass capacitor 25 coupled to the in-phase mixer, and a second bypass capacitor 27 coupled to the quadrature-phase mixer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a first and second capacitor to be coupled to the quadrature-phase mixer as disclosed by Aggarwal in order to smooth the signal that is output from the mixers to the impedance matching network of the receiver to the receiver of Dujmenovic and Wolkstein.

With respect to claim 22, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein combined disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 11, however Aggarwal continues to disclose wherein the in-phase and quadrature-phase mixers 9 and 10 each comprise differential mixer ports coupled to the impedance-matched IQ network 20, the impedance-matched network 20 further comprising bypass capacitors 25 and 27 coupled to each of the in-phase and quadrature-phase differential mixer ports of mixers 9 and 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a first and second capacitor to be coupled to the quadrature-phase mixer as disclosed by

Art Unit: 2618

Aggarwal in order to smooth the signal that is output from the mixers to the impedance matching network of the receiver to the receiver of Dujmenovic and Wolkstein.

8. Claims 14-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dujmenovic (US 6,980,787) and Wolkstein (US 5,222,246) and Aggarwal (US 6,985,698) in view of Satoh (US 6,983,129).

With respect to claim 14, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 13, however they do not disclose wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled pi- or T-type phase shifters.

The Satoh reference discloses wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled pi- or T-type phase shifters **15,16,17**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a T type phase shifter as disclosed by Satoh with the receiver as disclosed Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein in order to correctly shift the phase of the incoming signal.

With respect to claim 15, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 13, however Satoh disclose wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled distributed pi- or T-type phase shifters **15,16,17**.

With respect to claim 16, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein disclose the image rejection circuit of claim 13, however does not disclose wherein the at least one phase shifter comprises a plurality of series-coupled transmission line phase shifters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a line phase shifter with the receiver disclosed by Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein in order to correctly shift the phase of the incoming receiving signal.

With respect to claim 17, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein discloses the image rejection circuit of claim 11, however Aggarwal continues to disclose further comprising a matching network 20 coupled to the output port.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a matching network of Aggarwal with the phase shifter of Dujmenovic in order to obtain preferred performance of the IF stage of the receiver.

With respect to claim 18, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, and Wolkstein discloses the image rejection circuit of claim 11, however Wolkstein discloses wherein the back termination 226 comprises a resistive element 226.

And the Wolkstein reference discloses the back termination 226 having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift circuit at the termination port **224 Col.3 lines 55-66.**

With respect to claim 20, Dujmenovic, Aggarwal, Wolkstein, and Satoh combined disclose the impedance-matched IQ network of claim 14, however Satoh discloses a first T-type L-C phase shifter **15,16,17** comprising a first series capacitor **15**, a second series capacitor **17**, and a first shunt inductor **16** coupled therebetween; however Satoh does not disclose wherein the plurality of phase shifters comprises three series-coupled T-type L-C phase shifters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to combined the three phase shifter circuits in series as disclosed by Satoh in order to create an efficient phase shifting circuit in order to correct the incoming RF signal of the receiver

9. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aggarwal (US 6,985,698) in view of Wolkstein (US 5,222,246).

With respect to claim 23, Aggarwal discloses an impedance-matched IQ network 20 Fig.1 and Fig.2 configured to provide substantially a ± 90 degree phase shift with phase shifter 6 and 12 to a received quadrature phase signal Q_{IN} relative to a received an in-phase signal I_{IN} , and to provide a summation of the ± 90 degree phase-shifted quadrature phase signal and the received in-phase signal with signal adder 13, the impedance matched IQ network 20 comprising: phase shifting means having an in-phase mixer port 9 configured to receive the in-phase signal, a quadrature-phase mixer port 10 configured to receive the quadrature phase signal, and an output port 31 and 32, the phase shift circuit 12 and 6 configured to provide substantially a \pm

Art Unit: 2618

.90 degree phase shift between the in-phase and quadrature-phase mixer ports; however Aggarwal does not disclose wherein the network contains a termination means coupled to the termination port of the phase shifting means, the termination means having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift means at the termination port.

The Wolkstein reference however discloses wherein the network contains a termination 226 means coupled to the termination port 224 of the phase shifting means 258, the termination means 226 having an impedance value substantially equal to the characteristic impedance of the phase shift means at the termination port.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the back termination to the phase shifting circuit of Wolkstein to the phase shifting circuit disclosed by Aggarwal in order to substantially match the input impedance to attenuate signal reflections propagating within the IQ network.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 9 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9 and 19 disclose three LC phase shifter circuits comprising first and second shunt inductors coupled a first series capacitors within an impedance matched IQ network.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chan
Art Division 2618
5/29/07


NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER